

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,559	12/03/2003	Alfred Plammer	2926-115	4018
6449 7	7590 05/13/2005		EXAM	INER
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			RAO, G NAGESH	
1425 K STREI SUITE 800	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20005	DC 20005		
				_

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			"}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/725,559	PLAMMER, ALFRED	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	G. Nagesh Rao	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI accuse the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-15 and 19-32 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-15 and 19-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	∍r .		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/857,176</u> . I received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/725,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Arguments

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Examiner appreciates applicant's assistance with the information disclosure statement and has taken the provided information under advisement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 8-10, 12-14, and 19-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murasaki (EP 0580073 A2) in view of Menzin (US Patent No. 3,758,657).

attachment on the molding strip.

Murasaki 073 teaches a method and apparatus for manufacturing a material-backed engaging member for surface fastener. As shown in figures 1 and 2 there is an extruding nozzle that reads on as a type of extruder (1), a die wheel (2) coupled with a multiplicity of molding cavities (5), rear and front pressure rollers (8a and 8b) that communicate with said die and mold cavities to form an undercut

Page 3

The die wheel coupled with the mold cavities is able to produce an undercut attachment having a shape similar to that of a cone, whereby the molding strip is combined by a planar surfaced backing material (30) as processed through the rollers.

Murasaki 073 however lacks the specific teaching of incorporating a molding/demolding strip for removing the molded material in a non-destructive manner and the teachings of heating and cooling means for the processed material.

In a process related to production of plastic panels, Menzin 657 teaches the use of molding/demolding strips arranged over the circumference of the one roll (Figures 7, 10, and 11), and once the attachments have formed, the strips are radially moved for the nondestructive release of the formed undercut attachments of the plastic panel (Figures 10 and 11; Col 5 Lines 35-54 and Col 2 Lines 20-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,559 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Furthermore Menzin 657 teaches a process where the molding/demolding strips are provided movably on a substantially planer surface of a temperature controlled plate (anticipates heating and cooling device means) and interact with a corresponding counter surface (Fig 2 and Col 7 Lines 3-12).

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teachings of a temperature controlled plate into the rolls in order to treat the material produced on the spot so that there would not be any material degradation and is in a desired state of shape and form.

3. It should be noted that materials worked upon in an apparatus are viewed as a recitation of intended use and bear no weight to the structural limitations of the claimed apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 11 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murasaki (EP 0580073 A2) in view of Menzin (US Patent No. 3,758,657) in further view of Reil (US 4,196,035).

The hypothetical device of Murasaki 073 and Menzin 657 lacks the specific teaching of using a piston/cylinder arrangement in the rolls where the strips are

processed through the apparatus and the ability to form web profiles along the plastic strip.

Reil 035 teaches an apparatus for applying strips to coated web material. The apparatus is comprised of multiple pressure rollers that are used for the conveying of plastic strips and arranging of web profiles over the plastic (Column 3 Lines 57-65) through the apparatus. The pressure rollers are controlled by a regulating device, where among the elements comprised includes a pneumatic device (Figure 1 Elements 40 and 49) for aiding in driving pressure roller (Figure 1 Element 47 Also see Column 9 Lines 8-15).

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the pneumatic control device of Reil 035 into the hypothetical device of Murasaki 073 and Menzin 657 for the ability to control the feed rate and pressure applied onto the plastic strip as it is processed through the system.

Furthermore the apparatuses as taught in the aforementioned are capable of handling thermoplastic materials, and the claims directed towards the use of those materials within the apparatus is merely a recitation of intended use.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,559

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Nagesh Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2946. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

Page 6

Joseph S. Del Sole 5/4/05

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (571) 272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GNR